

3-14-00

A

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Atty./Agent Docket No.: CE08291R

Mailing Date: March 13, 2000

Express Mail Label No.: EJ923752127US

PTO
09/524066
JCS30 U.S. PTO
03/13/00

To: Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing under 37 CFR 1.53 (b) is a Nonprovisional Utility Patent:

☒ New Application; or a Continuation, Division, or Continuation-in-Part (CIP)
Application of prior US application No. _____, filed on _____, having US
Examiner _____, in Group Art Unit _____ of _____

Inventor(s): Mark D. Hetherington
Lee M. Proctor
Nai Sum Wong
John M. Harris

For (Title): Method and Apparatus for Checking Communicated Data

This transmittal letter has 2 total pages.

Enclosed are:

☒ 2 sheets of informal drawings, along with 15 pages of specification and claims,
☒ Oath or Declaration Combined with Power of Attorney (4 pages)
☒ Newly Executed (original or copy)
_____ Copy from a prior application (if this is a Continuation/Division with no new matter)
_____ Statement deleting named inventor(s) in prior application if this is a
Continuation/Division (See 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).)
_____ Consider as the above Statement, Please delete as inventors for this application
the following inventors named in the prior application: _____

_____ A certified copy of a _____ (non-US) application
S/N _____, having a filing date of _____, and foreign
priority to _____
this non-US application for the present application is hereby claimed under 35 USC 119.

☒ An Assignment Transmittal Letter and Assignment of the invention to MOTOROLA, INC.

_____ An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), with _____ PTO-1449, and
_____ citation copies.

_____ Preliminary Amendment

☒ Print EFS☒ Return Receipt Postcard

_____ Petition For Extension of Time for parent application of the present Continuation/Division/CIP
application

Instructions:

 Incorporation by Reference (for Continuation/Division application) The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which a copy of the oath or declaration is supplied, is considered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby incorporated by reference therein.

 Since the present application is based on a prior US application, please amend the specification by adding the following sentence before the first sentence of the specification: "The present application is based on prior US application No. / filed on , which is hereby incorporated by reference, and priority thereto for common subject matter is hereby claimed."

 Please cancel filed claims .

 X The filing fee is calculated as follows:

CLAIMS AS FILED, LESS ANY CANCELED BY AMENDMENT

	NUMBER OF CLAIMS	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS	19 - 20 =	0	X \$18	= \$ 00
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	4 - 3 =	0	X \$78	= \$78.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS			\$270	= \$ 00
BASIC FEE				= \$ 690.00
TOTAL FILING FEE				= \$768.00

 X Please charge Deposit Account No. 13-4772 in the amount of \$ 768.00 for the Total Filing Fee. Two duplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.

 X The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required now or in the future under 37 CFR 1.16 or 37 CFR 1.17, including any present or future time extension fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-4772. Two duplicate copies of this sheet are enclosed.

Date: 3/13/00

Signature: Sayed Hossain Beladi

Please forward all correspondence to:
Jonathan P. Meyer
Motorola, Inc.
Corporate Offices
1303 E. Algonquin Road
Schaumburg, IL 60196

Printed Name: Sayed Hossain Beladi
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 42,311
MOTOROLA, INC.
Phone: (847) 576-2128
Fax: (847) 576-3750

"Express Mail" Mailing Label number EJ923752127US

Date of Deposit March 13, 2000
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service's "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR §1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

[Signature] Jeremie Sroczek
Name of person mailing paper or fee
Date 3/13/00

0054056-01300

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS

CORRESPONDENCE INFORMATION

APPLICATION INFORMATION

Title Line One:: Method and Apparatus for Checking Commun
Title Line Two:: icated Data
Total Drawing Sheets:: 2
Formal Drawings?: No
Application Type:: Utility
Docket Number:: CE08291R
Secrecy Order in Parent Appl.?: No

Source:: PrintEFS Version 1.0.1

09524056-031300

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CHECKING COMMUNICATED DATA

Field of the Invention

5 The present invention relates generally to communication systems, and more particularly, the present invention relates to a method and apparatus for checking radio link protocol (RLP) data within a communication system.

10 Background of the Invention

Within a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA), and other communication system types, communicated information, either voice or data, is carried between communication resources, e.g., a
15 radiotelephone and a base station, on a communication channel. Within broadband, spread spectrum communication systems, such as CDMA based communication systems in accordance with Interim Standard IS-95B, a spreading code is used to define
20 the communication channel.

In addition to a primary channel, the communication channel may also include one or more secondary channels. The secondary channels provide an ability to increase bandwidth by permitting
25 transmission of information within these secondary channels during transmission of data, e.g., coded voice or data, within the primary channel. The primary channel is used to carry, either at a full transmission rate or some sub-rate, data such as
30 coded voice or data. When not carrying data, the primary channel carries an idle data pattern.

The secondary channels may carry virtually any form of data, including what is referred to as RLP data. However, the secondary channels do not always carry data. For example, according to the IS-95B standard for high-speed data services over CDMA cellular systems, a given call may concatenate up to 7 supplemental channels with the fundamental channel to transmit high bandwidth data. The supplemental channels are required by IS-95B to either transmit at full rate or to mute the transmitter. The problem is that when the supplemental channels are muted, the receiver has no way of knowing this and the receiver attempts to decode the air signals as if a full rate frame was sent. Muting the transmitter results in a random data pattern, and it is this random data pattern that the receiver attempts to decode. The frame CRC is intended to screen these decoded random data frames; however, the IS-95B frame CRC is only 12-bits. This means for random data, the frame CRC will pass a frame as valid on average once every 4096 muted frames.

Interim Standard IS-707A specifies the transmission of RLP data, but provides very little error checking of data frames received. When corrupt data frames are received by the RLP layer after falsely passing the frame CRC, the typical result is a reset of the RLP layer. This causes data loss and requests for retransmission by higher layer protocols. When the RLP layer receives the corrupt data frame, it will detect missing data frames from the currently expected sequence number

up to the sequence number of the corrupt data frame
and will request retransmission of these data frames
from the peer RLP layer. Since the frames detected
as missing by one RLP layer were never really sent
5 by the peer RLP layer, the peer RLP layer cannot
comply with the request. According to the IS-707A
standard, the RLP layers need to resynchronize via
the RLP reset procedure. In most cases, this will
result in data loss to the higher layers, which
10 produces the overall effect of degraded bandwidth.
This can also affect triggering of the dormant timer
for packet data since the retransmission requests
and the RLP reset procedure appear as activity and
reset the timer. Timer reset can cause calls that
15 are effectively idle, to remain active and consume
resources.

Thus, there is a need for a method and
apparatus for providing RLP data checking in a
communication system.

20

Brief Description of the Drawings

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a supplemental
band processing apparatus incorporating an RLP data
25 checking stage in accordance with a preferred
embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram illustrating an
apparatus for providing RLP data checking in
accordance with a preferred embodiment of the
30 invention.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustration of the frame serialization function illustrated in the block diagram of FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart illustrating a method of RLP data checking in accordance with a preferred embodiment of the invention.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiments

10 An apparatus and method provide RLP data checking. In accordance with preferred embodiments of the invention, an apparatus includes a frame serialization stage and a bad frame filter that detects possible bad frames and reclassifies these
15 bad frames as erasures. In this manner, bad frame data are not passed to the RLP layer, and unnecessary resetting and resynchronization steps are avoided.

With reference to FIG. 1, a data processing
20 apparatus 10 includes a symbol quality assessment stage 12, a frame CRC stage 14 and a RLP data layer 16. The symbol quality assessment stage 12 evaluates data frames utilizing a weighting procedure to ensure that no more than a
25 predetermined percent of the bad frames are passed on to the CRC stage 14. To overcome problems associated with passing random frames from the CRC stage 14, it is possible to adjust the threshold of the symbol quality assessment stage 12. However,
30 such an adjustment would necessarily result in rejecting a higher percentage of good frames.

Rejecting good frames requires higher layer activity to request retransmission of these frames. Thus, adjusting the symbol quality assessment stage 12 is not a viable solution to the problem of passing bad frames to the RLP layer 16. Therefore, to overcome the problem of passing bad frames to the RLP data layer 16, disposed between the CRC stage 14 and the RLP data layer 16 is a pre-RLP data checking stage 18.

FIG. 2 illustrates the pre-RLP data checking stage 18, which, as can be seen from FIG. 2, includes a frame serialization stage 20 and a bad frame filter stage 22. The frame serialization stage 20 receives RLP frames from the IS-95 layer, and serializes them according to the frame sequence number associated with each frame.

The process of frame serialization is illustrated in FIG. 3, wherein a plurality of frames having sequence numbers 24 are received by the frame serialization stage 20. The frame serialization stage further receives expected sequence number data, $V(R)$, from the RLP layer. An output of the frame serialization stage 20 is a serialized sequence of frames 26. In the example illustrated in FIG. 3, the expected sequence number, $V(R)$ is 11, the received frames are numbered 12, 13, 15, 16 and 99 along with an erasure frame 28 and an invalid frame 30. The frame serialization stage 20 is operable to insert a place holding frame "X" in place of potentially missing frames. Thus, the serialized frame sequence 32 is shown in FIG. 3 as

compared against a threshold value, step 410, to determine whether the frame is eligible for being discarded. If it is, then the frame sequence number of the received frame is compared with the next
5 expected sequence number $V_s(R)$, step 412, and to the number of consecutive erasures from the serialized frame sequence 32, step 414, to determine whether the frame is valid or not. If the frame is considered to have an invalid sequence number, the
10 consecutive reclassification counter is incremented, step 416, and compared to a threshold, step 418. If the threshold has not been exceeded, the frame is discarded, step 420, and the consecutive erasure counters are updated, step 422. Otherwise the frame
15 is considered valid since it is possible that the frame was received within the elapsed time. In this case, the consecutive reclassification frames counter C_n is reset, step 424, $V_s(R)$ is updated to be one greater than the valid sequence number, steps
20 426 and 428 and the counters C_i and C_s are reset. It should be noted that $V_s(R)$ is reinitialized to $V(R)$ (from the RLP layer) at the beginning of each 20 millisecond (ms) frame block.

The proposed process has been designed with
25 several configurable parameters to alter its behavior. The consecutive channel erasure threshold (T_i) may be used to prevent reclassification when a given channel is transmitting on most of its timeslots. The consecutive reclassification
30 threshold is designed to protect the algorithm in the event that a gap in RLP sequence number does

develop without the appropriate amount of elapsed time. The sequence number guard pad allows the algorithm to allow some small deviations in sequencing before declaring the frame invalid and forcing reclassification.

The process described could also be expanded to delay decisions on frame reclassification until the next set of frames has arrived. This would introduce some delay in the process; however, information regarding further sequence numbers may strengthen the algorithm and reduce the probability of bad decisions. For example, if a group of frames appear substantially out of sequence, it may be because one or more data packet(s) has/have been delayed. The next sequence of frames may reveal that an otherwise out of sequence frame, is the correct next frame.

The invention has been described in terms of several preferred embodiments. These preferred embodiments are meant to be illustrative of the invention, and not limiting of its broad scope, which is set forth in the following claims. For example, the invention may find application in systems designed in accordance with the IS-95A single variable rate channel structure or in accordance with the IS-95C channel structure, or in any radio-frequency environment where RLP is utilized. One of ordinary skill in the art will appreciate that the invention will benefit virtually any communication system where discontinuous transmission (DTX) is utilized as such system will

be susceptible to passing with some probability
random data as valid data. In accordance with the
invention, and in such system, suspect omitted are
detected and place holder frames inserted and/or
5 suspect bad data frames are detected and
reclassified, for example, as erasure frames, before
being passed to the data detector.

0524066-01300

CLAIMS

1. Within a communication system providing communication services on a communication channel, a
5 method of correcting information communicated on the communication channel comprising the steps of:

receiving on the communication channel a plurality of data frames, wherein the plurality of data frames include at least one of valid data
10 frames, erasure data frames and invalid data frames and wherein each valid data frame comprises an associated data frame sequence identifier;

sequencing the plurality of data frames according to the data frame sequence identifiers to
15 form a data frame sequence;

identifying within the data frame sequence an omitted data frame;

inserting within the data frame sequence a place holder frame for the omitted data frame;
20 determining a valid frame sequence parameter;

validating the data frame sequence using the valid frame sequence parameter.

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising the
25 step of modifying the data frame sequence responsive to the data frame sequence parameter.

3. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of characterizing received valid data frames as
30 invalid data frames responsive to the data frame sequence parameter.

4. The method of claim 1, further comprising,
before the step of validating the data frame
sequence, the step of receiving a next data frame
5 sequence.

5. A method of providing RLP data checking
comprising:
receiving a plurality of RLP data frames;
10 sequencing the plurality of RLP data frames
according to data frame sequence identifiers to form
a data frame sequence;
identifying within the data frame sequence an
omitted data frame;
15 inserting within the data frame sequence a
place holder frame for the omitted data frame;
determining a valid frame sequence parameter;
validating the data frame sequence using the
valid frame sequence parameter.

20 6. The method of claim 5, further comprising the
step of modifying the data frame sequence responsive
to the data frame sequence parameter.

25 7. The method of claim 5, further comprising the
step of characterizing received valid data frames as
invalid data frames responsive to the data frame
sequence parameter.

8. The method of claim 5, further comprising,
before the step of validating the data frame
sequence, the step of receiving a next data frame
sequence.

5

9. A method of providing RLP data checking
comprising:

receiving a plurality of RLP data frames;
identifying from the RLP data frames a suspect
10 frame, reclassifying the suspect frame to form
a reclassified frame, and
passing the reclassified frame to a RLP data
detector.

15 10. The method of claim 9, wherein the step of
reclassifying the suspect frame comprises
reclassifying the bad frame as an erasure.

20 11. The method of claim 10, wherein the step of
reclassifying the suspect frame comprises inserting
a place holder frame.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein the step of
reclassifying the suspect frame comprises
25 characterizing received a valid data frame as an
invalid data frame responsive to a data frame
sequence parameter.

13. The method of claim 10, further comprising the step of sequencing the plurality of RLP data frames according to data frame sequence identifiers to form a data frame sequence.

5

14. The method of claim 13, further comprising the step of modifying the data frame sequence responsive to a data frame sequence parameter.

10 15. The method of claim 10, further comprising the
step of validating the data frame sequence.

16. The method of claim 15, further comprising,
before the validating the data frame sequence, the

15 step of receiving a next data frame sequence.

05 SEP 2025 03:33:00

17. An apparatus for RLP data checking comprising:
a frame serialization stage, the frame
serialization stage coupled to receive a plurality
of RLP data frames, each of the plurality of RLP
5 data frames having a sequence number and the frame
serialization stage being operable to provide a
sequenced data frame output;
a frame filter coupled to the frame
serialization stage to receive the sequenced data
10 frame output and to provide a filtered data frame
output; and
wherein, place holder frames are inserted in
the sequenced data frame output for suspected
omitted frames, and erasure frames are inserted in
15 the filtered data frame output for suspected bad
data frames.
18. The apparatus of claim 17, wherein the frame
serialization stage is coupled to receive a next
20 expected sequence number.
19. The apparatus of claim 17, wherein the frame
serialization stage is coupled to an output of a
frame CRC check stage and the frame filter is
25 coupled to an input of an RLP data layer.

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CHECKING COMMUNICATED DATA

Abstract of the Disclosure

5 An apparatus (18) and method (100) provide RLP data checking. The apparatus (10) includes a frame serialization stage (20) and a bad frame filter (22) that detects possible bad frames and reclassifies these bad frames as erasures. In this manner, bad frame data are not passed to the RLP layer (16), and
10 unnecessary resetting and resynchronization steps are avoided.

054066-01300




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 1




FIG. 4

PATENT APPLICATION DECLARATION COMBINED
WITH POWER OF ATTORNEY

X REGULAR (UTILITY) OR DESIGN APPLICATION
(check one)

Attorney Docket
No. CE08291R

As a below-named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: Method and Apparatus for Checking Communicated Data, the specification of which:

(check one) X is attached hereto.
 was filed on as
U.S. Application Serial No.
and was amended on
(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s):

(check one)	<u> X </u> no such applications filed.	Priority
	<u> </u> such applications identified as follows:	<u> Claimed </u>
(Serial No.) <u> </u>	(Country) <u> </u>	(Day/Month/Year Filed) <u> </u> Yes No <u> </u>
(Serial No.) <u> </u>	(Country) <u> </u>	(Day/Month/Year Filed) <u> </u> Yes No <u> </u>
(Serial No.) <u> </u>	(Country) <u> </u>	(Day/Month/Year Filed) <u> </u> Yes No <u> </u>

I hereby claim the priority benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which is material to the examination of this application and which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Prior U.S. Applications(s):

(check
one)

☒ no such applications filed.

☐ such applications identified as follows:

(Application Serial No.) (Filing Date) (Status) (Patented, Pending, Abandoned)

(Application Serial No.) (Filing Date) (Status) (Patented, Pending, Abandoned)

(Application Serial No.) (Filing Date) (Status) (Patented, Pending, Abandoned)

I hereby declare that: as to any claimed subject matter of this application which is common to my earlier United States or foreign application(s), if any, which I have identified above and claimed the benefit of priority thereof, I do not believe that the same was ever known or used in the United States before my invention thereof or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to the first of said earlier application(s), or in public use or on sale in the United States more than one year prior to the first of said earlier application(s), and that the said common subject matter has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate before the date of the first of said earlier U.S. application(s) in any country foreign to the United States on an application, filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (six months if the present application is a Design patent application) prior to the first of said earlier U.S. application(s), if any; and that, as to any claimed subject matter of this application which is not common to said earlier application(s), if any, I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used in the United States before my invention thereof or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to the date of this application, or in public use or on sale in the United States more than one year prior to the date of this application, and that said subject matter has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate in any country foreign to the United States on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (six months if the present application is a Design patent application) prior to the date of this application.

I HEREBY APPOINT THE FOLLOWING AS MY ATTORNEY(S) OR AGENT(S) WITH FULL POWER OF SUBSTITUTION TO PROSECUTE THIS APPLICATION AND TRANSACT ALL BUSINESS IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CONNECTED THEREWITH:

NAME(S)	REGISTRATION NO. (S)	ASSOCIATE POWER OF ATTORNEY ATTACHED
Jonathan P. Meyer	30,477	
Steven G. Parmelee	28,790	
J. Ray Wood	36,062	
Daniel K. Nichols	29,420	
Charlotte B. Whitaker	34,043	
Richard A. Sontenag	36,283	
Heather L. Creps	39,157	
Kenneth A. Haas	42,614	
Sayed Hossain Beladi	42,311	


☐ Yes

☒ No

Send Written Correspondence To:
Intellectual Property Section - Law Department
MOTOROLA, INC.
1303 East Algonquin Road
Schaumburg, IL 60196
Direct Telephone Calls to: (847) 576-0379

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statement and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor Mark D. Hetherington

Inventor's signature 

Date 3-13-2000


Residence Crystal Lake Illinois
City State or Foreign Country

Citizenship U.S.A

Post Office Address 1701 Kennsington Lane
Street Address

Crystal Lake Illinois 60014
City State or Country Zip Code

Full name of second inventor Lee M. Proctor

Inventor's signature 

Date 3/3/00


Residence Cary Illinois
City State or Foreign Country

Citizenship U.S.A

Post Office Address 1002 Hilary Lane
Street Address

Cary Illinois 60013
City State or Country Zip Code

Full name of third inventor Nai Sum Wong

Inventor's signature 

Date 3/3/00

Residence Palatine Illinois
City State or Foreign Country

Citizenship Hong Kong

Post Office Address 885 W. Saint Johns Place
Street Address

Palatine Illinois 60067
City State or Country Zip Code

Full name of
forth inventor

John M. Harris

Inventor's signature

Date

Residence

Chicago

City

Illinois

State or Foreign Country

Citizenship

USA

Post Office Address

1108 W. Dickens Avenue #2

Street Address

Chicago

City

Illinois

State or Country

60614

Zip Code

09524066-031300